		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	arv	10/814,166	ISHIZAKI ET AL.
	y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael A. Marcheschi	1755
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Michael A. Marcheschi.		(3)	
(2) Robert A. Miller.		(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 January 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	- • •	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: 16			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE examiners amendment	E GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The eparate re	examiner will provide a written examiner will provide a written example of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	oplicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	onature – if appropriate)
- ,		, ,	granate in appropriate)